

Striving for Unity: The Prophet Deals with Crises

Wendy A. Jackson

Successful mission means that The Seventh-day Adventist Church in the twenty first century “is marked by great diversity in culture, values, traditions, and practices.” While “such diversity has the power to enrich the church” it also “threatens to pull it apart, as its membership reads Scripture through different cultural and experiential lenses. Even within single cultural contexts diversity is growing. With increasing frequency, this diversity contributes to conflicts on theological issues and church practices in the Seventh-day Adventist Church.”¹ While the church seeks to maintain unity, approaches to unity often overlook the complexity of the issues involved. Furthermore, most of the discussion fails to even define what is meant by unity and simply assumes that everyone is agreed about what it means.²

The Christian church has struggled from its inception with the tension between the declaration that unity is intrinsic to the nature of the church, and the reality that the church is far from united. The difficulties and challenges this tension poses have resulted in many attempts to explain the nature of unity in a way that solves the apparent gap between belief and reality. While such theological exercises may seem pointless, they are in fact fundamental to thinking through questions of praxis since any consideration of church unity presupposes an understanding of the nature of unity. We cannot consider how unity can be achieved and manifested by the church without first discussing the actual nature of unity that we are seeking.

This paper will examine the concepts of unity and disunity from the perspective of Seventh-day Adventist prophet and co-founder Ellen White who in the course of her ministry wrote extensively on the topic unity. After stating some assumptions, the paper will begin by noting the importance White placed on the unity of the church. It will then explore the foundational question of what White understood by the term unity and note what she considered were the major causes of disunity. Finally, it will outline her contribution to the topic of how unity of the church can be attained.

¹ Jackson, Wendy A., “The Unity of the Church and Church Authority: A Comparative Study of the Views and Practice of Alexander Campbell and Ellen G. White” (PhD diss., Andrews University, 2015), 445. This paper is largely based on research carried out during my doctoral studies, and follows closely some sections of my final dissertation. The dissertation can be downloaded in its entirety from the Andrews University Website at the following URL: <http://digitalcommons.andrews.edu/dissertations/1584/>.

² Many recent decisions appear to be based on an understanding of unity as uniformity of practice.

Assumptions

Before immersing ourselves in the thinking of White, three important assumptions need to be stated.

First, as unity of the church is a property of the church, an exploration of unity of the church cannot be viewed in isolation from Ellen White's wider ecclesiological perspectives, including the function and role of the church's authority structure, and the relationship of the organization to biblical authority. Too often single statements on unity are considered in isolation without regard to the complexity of the concept. Such practices leave the writer to interpret the statement in ways consistent with their own ideas rather than understanding what the author originally intended.

It is beyond the scope of this paper to provide a full ecclesiology of Ellen White. Nevertheless, it is important that we understand that White's ecclesiology was primarily functional. Her writings define the church in terms of its relationship to God, and its divinely appointed mission. White specifically identifies the church as God's appointed representatives to testify to the love of God and to "win them to Christ by the efficacy of that love."³ White's understanding of the Seventh-day Adventist Church as the end time remnant extended the representative role of the church. With a specific message to call people back to forgotten truths and a final message of warning to the nations, both the remnant's actions and faithfulness to truth are to be a witness to the character of God and his law.⁴

Further, given her understanding of the church as a voluntary society, White considers representing God is an obligation on all who choose to join the church. Consequently, she expects that all members of the church will prioritise the interests of God at all times.⁵

With regards to the authority structure, White noted that order was essential for the church to function in the way God intended; however, she did not dictate any specific form this order should take even in the face of a need for reorganization in 1901.⁶ Organization was to remain flexible enough to serve the mission of the church. The character of its leaders was also important. Leaders were to be humble and accountable,⁷ and centralization of power was to be avoided.⁸

The second assumption is that the views of Ellen White are not those of a theologian who is removed from the everyday life, but rather a hands-on leader whose views were incubated in real world crises. Her first statements suggesting brethren press together in the shadow of the Great Disappointment, are followed by statements directed to specific crises in the 1850s and 1860s, and find their maturity during the last decade of the 19th century as

Ellen White dealt with the ongoing conflicts emerging out of the 1888 General Conference and the drama surrounding the controversial figure of John Harvey Kellogg. Her ideas matured and developed over time as she was involved in more crises. This means we must take care in considering the context of the statements on unity, and explore her ideas in some chronological fashion. In this paper I will present an over view of the key ideas first, and then consider how they arose chronologically as I build a model of her views.

The third assumption is that any consideration of the theme of unity in the writings of Ellen White also needs to be cognizant of her main themes particularly her emphasis on the Love of God and the Great Controversy Theme.

With these assumptions in mind, we turn now to discuss what Ellen White says about unity.

The Mandate of Unity

The biblical basis of Ellen White's discussion on unity is found primarily in John 17, Ephesians 4:3-6, Philippians 2:2, and the various biblical metaphors of the church which imply its oneness.

John 17 provides the impetus and mandate for unity since it is in this passage that Jesus himself explicitly expresses that it is his desire for his followers to be one. Since it is the will of God, the call to unity is to be taken seriously by all who take the name Christian.⁹ Thus in 1894, she asks "What can I present before my brethren and sisters in Christ, that is more important for their study and practice than the Saviour's prayer for His disciples? The entire seventeenth chapter of John is full of marrow and fatness."¹⁰

³ Ellen G. White, "The Church and Its Mission - No 1," *East Michigan Banner*, January 18, 1905, 1.

⁴ Ellen G. White, "The Remnant Church, Not Babylon," *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, September 12, 1893, 579; Ellen G. White, "Preparation for the Testing-Time," *Signs of the Times*, April 22, 1889, 242.

⁵ Ellen G. White, "Scattered Churches," *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, September 6, 1881, 161.

⁶ Early calls for order in the church can be found in such places as. Ellen G. White, *Supplement to the Experience and Views of Ellen G. White* (Rochester, NY: James White, 1854), 18-19. White's specific call for reorganization in 1901 can be found in Ellen G. White, "A Call to Reconsecrate, Reorganize, and Advance," MS 43, April 1, 1901) in *Manuscript Releases: From the Files of the Letters and Manuscripts Written by Ellen G. White*. Edited by the Ellen G. White Estate, 21 Vols. Silver Spring, MD: Review and Herald, 1981-1993., 13:192-207.

⁷ Ellen G. White, "Judge Not," *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, November 14, 1907,

8; Ellen G. White, "Thou Shalt Have No Other Gods before Me," Manuscript 15, 1895, in *Testimonies to Ministers and Gospel Workers* (Boise, ID: Pacific Press, 1923), 360

⁹ Ellen G. White, "One, Even as We Are One," *Bible Training School*, February 1, 1906, 129.

¹⁰ Ellen G. White, "The Living Testimony," *The Bible Echo*, April 23, 1894, 124.

“The instruction given me by One of authority is that we are to learn to answer the prayer recorded in the seventeenth chapter of John. We are to make this prayer our first study.”¹¹

And further in 1906 in relation to the prayer she writes “Such oneness as exists between the Father and the Son is to be manifest among all who believe the truth. Those who are thus united in implicit obedience to the word of God will be filled with power.”¹²

The prayer in John 17 was also a reminder for White that unity is not an end in itself. Rather, unity is to be understood as a crucial precursor to the successful mission of the church. Writing in 1906 she states,

“If all would completely consecrate themselves to the Lord and through the sanctification of the truth, live in perfect unity, what a convincing power would attend the proclamation of the truth! How sad that so many churches misrepresent the sanctifying influence of the truth, because they do not manifest the saving grace that would make them one with Christ, even as Christ is one with the Father! If all would reveal the unity and love that should exist among brethren, the power of the Holy Spirit would be manifest in its saving influence. In proportion to our unity with Christ will be our power to save souls.”¹³

The connection between unity and mission was thus considered crucial. Unity was essential for the church to fulfil its mission because it provides witness to the transforming power of the gospel.¹⁴ In addition, White also emphasizes the difference it makes to the spiritual health of both individuals and congregations.¹⁵

¹¹ Ellen G. White, *The Southern Watchman*, February 2, 1904, 60. See also Ellen G. White, *Testimonies for the Church*, 9 vols. 1885-1909. Reprint, (Mountain View, CA: Pacific Press, 1948), 8:239.

¹² Ellen G. White, “One, Even as We Are One,” *Bible Training School*, February 1, 1906, 130.

¹³ Ellen G. White, “One, Even as We Are One,” *Bible Training School*, February 1, 1906, 130.

¹⁴ Ellen G. White, “Strength and Power in Unity,” *Bible Training School*, April 1, 1903, 161; Ellen G. White, “Words of Counsel,” *Australasian Union Conference Record*, November 15, 1903.¹⁵ Ellen G. White, “Christ Is our Hope,” *Bible Training School*, May 1, 1903, 177.

The Nature of Unity

There are hundreds of passages in the writings of Ellen White that talk about unity, union, harmony, and working together peace. The frequency with which they occur leaves no doubt that unity within the church was considered important by Ellen White. What is crucial for us to understand, however, is what Ellen White meant when she used the term unity in relation to the church.

Since Ellen White's writings emerge largely from responses to specific crises, there is no nicely formed stand-alone definition of unity in her writings. Consequently, we must attempt to draw out an understanding by looking at the words and phrases she employs when discussing unity, and the implications of her statements about unity. The strong tie between mission and unity precludes White from understanding unity as something that belongs only to the invisible church as some theologians have concluded, or as something that only occurs in the eschaton. Rather, the unity that Christ prayed for was to be a present and visible reality in the historical church. Only a visible unity could testify to the reality and transforming power of the gospel and fit the purpose outlined in John 17.¹⁶

Unity has been considered by most Christians as an intrinsic or essential characteristic of the church which exists whether or not it is visible in the historic church. Ellen White clearly embraces the biblical models of the church which recognize the unity of the church, and quotes texts which imply an intrinsic unity due to one baptism and one Lord. However, her discussions do not talk about a unity which already exists in the church. In keeping with her wider functional ecclesiology, Ellen White focuses instead on human responsibility for unity. That is, unity is something that requires our attention and active choice. This prevents excusing ones behaviour, living in complacency, or a failing to personally engage in the will of God for his church. In her eyes, all must strive actively to obtain unity.¹⁷ Furthermore, White makes it clear that unity requires ongoing daily effort. Thus she writes to John Harvey Kellogg that unity is something that "must be cultivated day by day."¹⁸

¹⁶ White, *Testimonies for the Church*, 3:446.

¹⁷ White, "Christ is Our Hope," *Bible Training School*, May 1, 1903, 177; White, "Unity of the Church," *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, February 19, 1880, 113; Ellen G. White, "Notes of Travel," *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, February 12, 1885, 8.

¹⁸ Ellen G. White to J. H. Kellogg, Letter K-073, April 17, 1899 in Ellen G. White, *Testimonies for the Church*, 8:172-176. While White emphasizes the role of human choice in the attainment of unity, unity should not be seen as something manufactured by humans. As will become obvious as the paper proceeds, unity is only possible in connection with Christ and actively involves the Holy Spirit's transformation in the life.

An examination of the phrases White uses in relation to unity reveals that she does not use a singular expression to describe the nature of unity. Rather, her writings provide a variety of phrases including unity of purpose¹⁹, unity of action²⁰, unity of spirit²¹, unity of thought²², unity of faith²³ and being of one mind.²⁴ At first glance this would seem to portray an array of concepts about unity. Although unity of purpose, unity of action, and unity of the Spirit would seem to be overlapping, the ideas of unity of thought, unity of faith and being of one mind would seem to portray quite a different slant on unity.

The great majority of phrases fall into the first of these groups, with the context of statements about unity of action being similar to that of unity of purpose. The idea of uniformity of practice is not envisaged here. Rather, the wish that God's people should live harmoniously and work together to achieve a common purpose. For instance, she writes in 1908:

“In the work of soul saving, the Lord calls together laborers who have different plans and ideas and various methods of labor. But with this diversity of minds, there is to be revealed a unity of purpose. Oftentimes in the past the work the Lord designed should prosper has been hindered because men have tried to place a yoke upon their fellow workers who did not follow the methods which they regarded as the best.”²⁵

¹⁹ See for instance, Ellen G. White, “A Missionary Education,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, February 6, 1908, 24; Ellen G. White, “The Higher Calling of God in Christ Jesus,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, October 7, 1890, 609; Ellen G. White, “The Southern California Conference,” *Pacific Union Recorder*, September 26, 1901, 2.

²⁰ See representative statements in Ellen G. White, “Lessons from the Life of Solomon – No. 5: Order and Organization,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, October 12, 1905, 8; White, *Testimonies for the Church*, 8:243.

²¹ Ellen G. White, “The Remnant Church Not Babylon (Continued),” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, September 5, 1893, 562; Ellen G. White, “Draw Nigh to God,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, March 4, 1890, 129.

²² Ellen G. White, “Unity a Test of Discipleship,” December 13, 1898, MS 165, 1898. Published, in part, under the title “Critical Spirit to Be Overcome” in White, *Manuscript Releases*, 15:165.

²³ Ellen G. White, “Christian Unity,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, April 27, 1897, 257; Ellen G. White, “The Unity of the Church,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, June 16, 1885, 369.

²⁴ Ellen G. White, “Unity of the Church,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, February, 19, 1880, 113-114.

²⁵ Ellen G. White, “A Missionary Education,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, February 6, 1908, 24

Working in harmony not only made pragmatic sense, it harmonized with White's understanding of the church as a voluntary society. In the nineteenth century context, a voluntary society existed for a singular purpose, and those who joined did so for the express purpose of furthering its aims. Thus, White assumed that those who choose to join the church voluntarily would be willing to work together to prioritize the mission of the church.²⁶

The terms unity of thought, and being of one mind require more careful analysis.

The phrase "unity of thought" arises in three contexts. A call for different ethnic and nationalities to work together under a single sovereign rather than letting national pride prevent united action,²⁷ working together to find the best methods to mission,²⁸ and a call to peace and harmony instead of criticizing and tearing other leaders down.²⁹ In none of these contexts is unity of thought intended to convey uniformity of doctrine or understanding all scripture or all church practices in the same way.

Likewise, examination of the context of the idea of being of one mind reveals four main meanings of the term:³⁰ working together to do God's work, working in harmony without selfish ambition and fault finding,³¹ coming together in humility before God,³² and teaching the same doctrines. With regard to teaching the same doctrine, she wrote to Dr Kellogg in 1886,

"The soldiers of Jesus Christ must move in concert, else it were better that they do nothing. For if one speaks one thing, and another presents ideas and doctrines contrary to his fellow laborers, there is confusion, discord, and strife. Therefore the apostle charges that all who believe on Christ be of one mind, one faith, one judgment, each moving in concert, influencing one another beneficially, because they are both obedient to the precious truth of the Word of God, attached to one Savior, the great Source of light and truth."³³

Several instances of the use of the phrase "unity of faith" also appear to call for a unity in doctrine. For instance in *Christian Experience and Teachings* she notes that

"God is leading a people out from the world upon the exalted platform of eternal truth, the commandments of God and the faith of Jesus. He will discipline and fit up His people. They will not be at variance, one believing one thing, and another having faith and views entirely opposite; each moving independently of the body. Through the diversity of the gifts and governments that He has placed in the church, they will all come to the unity of the faith. If one man takes his views of Bible truth without regard to the opinion of his brethren, and justifies his course, alleging that he has a right to his own peculiar views, and then presses them upon others, how can he be

fulfilling the prayer of Christ? And if another and still another arises, each asserting his right to believe and talk what he pleases, without reference to the faith of the body, where will be that harmony which existed between Christ and His Father, and which Christ prayed might exist among His brethren?”³⁴

Yet while calling for unity of faith in relation to what is believed, other passages remind us that this is not uniformity.³⁵ The diverse backgrounds and experiences that shape individuals may be expected to lead to different methods of working for the Lord. Furthermore, Ellen White’s encouragement that everyone needs to read Scripture for themselves leads to the expectation that variation in understanding of Scripture will occur. In order to maintain harmony, amongst this diversity White advised that members dwell “upon those things in which all can agree, rather than upon those things that seem to create a difference.”³⁶

²⁶ Ellen G. White, “Scattered Churches,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, September 6, 1881, 161.

²⁷ Ellen G. White, “The Swiss Conference and the European Council,” *The Bible Echo*, February 1, 1886, 27.

²⁸ Ellen G. White, “Who are Partners with Christ,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, July 17, 1894, 449.

²⁹ Ellen G. White, “Unity a Test of Discipleship,” in *Manuscript Releases*, 15:165³⁰ The idea is found in the phrases “unity of mind” and “being of one mind.” While the term “unity of mind” only occurs in one context, the term “one mind” occurs frequently in White's writings. A significant number of these occurrences are simply quotations from Scripture including 1 Peter 3:8; Philippians 2:1-2; Romans 15:5, 6; 2 Corinthians 13:6 and 1 Corinthians 1:10. For single reference to unity of mind, see Ellen G. White, “The Work Before Us,” MS 11, 1912, in *Loma Linda Messages* (Payson, AZ: Leaves of Autumn, 1981), 601.

³¹ White makes this direct connection when she writes to S. N. Haskell in 1900 noting “We are often exhorted, ‘Be ye all of one mind,’ which means the same as ‘Endeavour to keep the unity of the Spirit in the bonds of peace.’” See Ellen G. White to S. N. Haskell, April 5, 1900, in *Manuscript Releases*, 8:68.

³² Ellen G. White, “An Important Letter from Sister E. G. White,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, December 11, 1900, 796; White, *Testimonies for the Church*, 6:469.

³³ Ellen G. White, “Christians, Like Soldiers, May Face Hardships, and Must Work Together in Unity,” (Letter to J. H. Kellogg, July 1886) in *Manuscript Releases*, 14:29.

³⁴ Ellen G. White, *Christian Experience and Teachings* (Boise, ID: Pacific Press, 1940), 201.

³⁵ See for instance Ellen G. White, “The Vine and the Branches,” MS 66, 1897, published in part in *Manuscript Releases*, 6:104; Ellen G. White to P. T. Magan, Letter M-111, June 16, 1903 published in *Manuscript Releases* 6:106.

³⁶ Ellen G. White, “Diversity and Unity in God's Work,” in *Manuscript Releases*, 8: 68. Furthermore, White counsels that a failure to agree on ideas should not be seen as a reason to separate from the church. See also White, “The Importance of Unity; the Holy Spirit a Mystery,” in *Manuscript Releases* 14:177. While agreement on fundamentals is highlighted, those in Christ should not waste their time disputing over “matters of little importance.”

In summary, the nature of the unity that White envisaged was a visible lived unity, something that requires personal involvement and choice. It is primarily expressed in harmonious working together to achieve a common purpose, however, some degree of doctrinal unity in relation to landmark truths is also expected.

Disunity and its Causes

While success in mission is bound up with unity, a lack of unity misrepresents the truth and brings reproach to the name of Christ.³⁷ The church as Christ's representatives with an end time mission, are to demonstrate the loving character of God. This is negated by a disunited church since a divided church portrays an unloving and divided God who lacks power. Thus Ellen White suggests that the church actively works against its Lord when it is disunited. At the 1900 GC Conference session she asked

Why do those who profess to believe in Christ, who profess to keep the commandments, make such feeble efforts to answer the Savior's prayer? Why do they seek to have their own way, instead of choosing the way and will of the Spirit of God? Those who do this will one day see the harm done to the cause of God by pulling apart. Instead of co-operating with Christ, instead of laboring together with God, many who occupy positions of trust are working in opposition to Christ. The Lord has presented this to me in a most decided manner to present to his people.³⁸

Her words were equally strong at the 1903 General Conference session where she equated disunity with sin. "There is a great and solemn work to be done for Seventh-day Adventists, if they will only be converted. The great trouble is the lack of unity among them. This is a sin in the sight of God, - sin which, unless God's people repent, will withhold from them his blessing. . . ." ³⁹ The consequences of disunity included: Distraction from mission,⁴⁰ negative impact on personal spirituality,⁴¹ and weakness of the church.⁴²

³⁷ Ellen G. White to G. I. Butler, Letter *B-023, October 1, 1885, published in White, *Manuscript Releases*, 15:362. Ellen G. White to Missionaries in South Africa, Letter B-004, March 9, 1890, published in Ellen G. White, *Testimonies to Southern Africa* (South African Union Conference of Seventh-day Adventists, 1977), 21- 27..

³⁸ Ellen G. White, "Unity among Believers," *General Conference Bulletin*, July 1, 1900, 156.

³⁹ Ellen G. White, "The Work before Us," *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, April 14, 1903, 7

⁴⁰ Ellen G. White, "The Opposer's Work," *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, October 18, 1892, 642. August 3, 1910, in White, *Selected Messages*, 1:167-168.

⁴¹ Ellen G. White, "Make Straight Paths for your Feet." MS 157, 1897. Published in part under the title, "Christian Unity" in *Manuscript Releases*, 11:50.

⁴² White, *Testimonies for the Church*, 8:240.

So what did Ellen White identify as the causes of disunity? Ellen White does not focus on doctrinal differences as a cause for disunity. Disagreements about doctrine are only surface matters that portray a much deeper issue. Disunity is at its core is a sign of disconnection from Christ. Writing against the unequal treatment of some groups of believers, White declares “The reason of all division, discord, and difference is found in separation from Christ.”⁴³ She continues, “Christ is the center to which all should be attracted; for the nearer we approach the center, the closer we shall come together in feeling, in sympathy, in love, growing into the character and image of Jesus. With God there is no respect of persons.”⁴⁴

Her other stated causes of disunity also have a strong relational focus and flow directly from being disconnected from Christ. These include attitudinal problems such as stubbornness, pride, unwillingness to listen to others, and lack of love as causes for disunity.⁴⁵ She also posits unbelief about the foundations of Christian belief,⁴⁶ and a failure of Christians to shoulder any responsibility for the mission of the church as causes of disunity,⁴⁷ and an unwillingness to allow the Holy Spirit to work.⁴⁸

How Can Unity be Attained?

These causes of disunity become the basis for White’s discussion about how unity can be attained. Consequently, union with Christ takes prime position in her discussion of the attainment of unity. Writing in 1904, she claims “The cause of division and discord in families and in the church is separation from Christ. To come near to Christ is to come near to one another. The secret of true unity in the church and in the family is not diplomacy, not management, not a superhuman effort to overcome difficulties--though there will be much of this to do--but union with Christ.”⁴⁹

⁴³ Ellen G. White, “No Caste in Christ,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, December 22, 1891, 785; Ellen G. White to W. Ings, Letter I-077, January 9, 1893, in White, *The Ellen G. White 1888 Materials: Letters, Manuscripts, Articles, and Sermons Relating to the 1888 Minneapolis General Conference*. Edited by the Ellen G. White Estate 1125.

⁴⁴ Ellen G. White, “No Caste in Christ,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, December 22, 1891, 785.

⁴⁵ White, “To W. Ings,” 1125.

⁴⁶ Ellen G. White to J. H. Kellogg, December, 1904 in White, *Manuscript Releases*, 11:319. The message was written to John Harvey Kellogg when he was promoting panentheistic theories, and in outright conflict with church leadership.

⁴⁷ Ellen G. White, “Scattered Churches,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, September 6, 1881, 161-162.

⁴⁸ Ellen G. White, “The Secret of Victory,” *Bible Training School*, March 1, 1909, 177.

⁴⁹ Ellen G. White to Sr, Harper, Letter 49, January 24, 1904 published in Ellen G. White, *Mind, Character, Personality* (Nashville, TN, Southern Publishing Association, 1977), 2:501.

The nature of the connection between union with Christ and unity of the church was described by White using the metaphor of a sun and its sunbeams.⁵⁰ Beams of light are closest together at the centre of the sun, whereas they become more and more widely spaced the further they are from the sun. So, as believers remain close to Christ, they will also demonstrate a love and closeness for other believers. In fact, White states that “they must of necessity be drawn close to each other, for the sanctifying grace of Christ will bind their hearts together.”⁵¹ However, as believers move further from Christ, so they find themselves struggling to remain close to others. Thus White can confidently claim that “True religion unites hearts, not only with Christ, but with one another, in a most tender union.”⁵²

Using John 15 as the backdrop of her discussion about connection with Christ, White considered individual Christians must be united with Christ just as branches are united to the vine.⁵³ Such a union provides both a new identity and the means by which spiritual growth can occur.⁵⁴ As union with Christ occurs, the Holy Spirit is enabled to transform the life of the individual. Consequently, the life will show evidence of the fruit of the spirit as a more Christlike character is formed.

Of particular importance in the discussion of union with Christ, was the need for maintenance of the relationship. For Restorationist Alexander Campbell, union simply occurred at baptism, but for White, union with Christ was more than a profession of faith. It was something dynamic which needed to be maintained and preserved lest disconnection occurs. Like other human relationships, both partners in the relationship must bear some responsibility for the maintenance of relationship. While the initiative and work that makes this bond possible comes from God, the relationship cannot work if only one partner is

⁵⁰ White to Uriah Smith, Letter S-024, September 19, 1892, published under the title “The Message of 1888; an Appeal for Unity; the Need for the Indwelling Christ,” in *Manuscript Releases*, 15:88.

⁵¹ Ibid.

⁵² Ellen Gould White, *Gospel Workers* (Review and Herald Publishing Association, 1915), 484.

⁵³ Ellen G. White, “The True Vine,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, September 20, 1881; Ellen G. White, “The Living Vine,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, September 11, 1883.

⁵⁴ Ibid.

interested in its maintenance.⁵⁵ The individual is therefore called to preserve the bond by continual communion,⁵⁶ and “earnest prayer.”⁵⁷

In addition to union with Christ, Ellen White describes five other factors to attaining unity.

A second factor in the attainment of unity is having the correct attitudes. White’s written responses to individuals involved in conflict particularly General Conference president G. I Butler, and J. H. Kellogg frequently put the emphasis on right attitudes. Even when they held beliefs which differed significantly from others, or which White herself considered were wrong, she generally devoted more space in her writing to the need for humility and the correct attitudes than she did to the condemnation of the beliefs of the person.

The most essential attitudes for unity were those of love,⁵⁸ humility⁵⁹ and teachableness⁶⁰ whereas attitudes of pride, self-centredness, and selfish ambition provide obstacles to unity.⁶¹ Consequently, when looking for unity of the church one the first steps is to examine your own heart. White writes,

Whether superiors, inferiors, or equals, your work is to begin with your own heart. Humble yourself before God. Come into right connection with Him by yielding to the creating power of the Holy Spirit. Then will be seen in the church the unity that is of value in God’s sight. There will be sweet harmony, and all the building, fitly framed together, will grow up into an holy temple in the Lord.⁶²

Indeed she suggested that if all believers had a teachable heart, there would be no divisions between them.⁶³

⁵⁵ Jackson, Wendy A., “The Unity of the Church and Church Authority: A Comparative Study of the Views and Practice of Alexander Campbell and Ellen G. White,” 280.

⁵⁶ White, *Desire of Ages*, 676. Elsewhere, she suggested communion must occur daily or hourly. ⁵⁷ *Ibid*, 5:47, 231.

⁵⁸ See for instance , White, *Christ's Object Lessons* (Hagerstown, MD: Review and Herald, 1969), 49; White, *Acts of the Apostles* (Boise, ID: Pacific Press, 1911), 551; Ellen G. White to Brethren Who Shall Assemble in General Conference, Letter B-20, August 5, 1888 in *1888 Materials*, 41.

⁵⁹ White, “The Message of 1888; an Appeal for Unity; the Need for the Indwelling Christ,” in *Manuscript Releases*.

⁶⁰ Ellen G. White, “Love among the Brethren.” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, June 3, 1884, 353-354.

⁶¹ See for instance, Ellen G. White to Brethren Who Assemble in Week of Prayer, Letter B-20a, December 15, 1888, in *1888 Materials*, 196-198.

⁶² Ellen G. White, “The Danger of Rejecting Light,” MS 64, 1898, in *Manuscript Releases*, 20:321

⁶³ White, “Love among the Brethren.” 353-354.

It must be noted however, that right attitudes are only obtained by connection with Christ and by our recognition that we are sinners dependent upon the mercy of God. Humility before fellow believers grows out of our understanding of ourselves as sinners who are often wrong. It is demonstrated by a willingness to listen to the ideas of others, and weigh their counsel in the light of scripture and experience rather than just pushing person opinion.⁶⁴

The third factor White identifies as important to attainment of unity is ensuring that Scripture is the rule of faith and practice. The Bible itself was to be the only creed for Christians, and the bond of union between members.

When God's Word is studied, comprehended, and obeyed, a bright light will be reflected to the world; new truths, received and acted upon, will bind us in strong bonds to Jesus. The Bible, and the Bible alone, is to be our creed, the sole bond of union; all who bow down to this Holy Word will be in harmony. Our own views and ideas must not control our efforts. Man is fallible, but God's Word is infallible. Instead of wrangling with one another, let men exalt the Lord. Let us meet all opposition as did our Master, saying, "It is written." Let us lift up the banner on which is inscribed, The Bible our rule of faith and discipline.⁶⁵

While this quote is from 1885, it is representative of her earliest thoughts about unity after the Great Disappointment. That is, that the bond which tied the small discouraged remnant of believers together was their commitment to the authority of the Bible.

In choosing Scripture as the guide and authority for the Christian life, the individual is necessarily drawn towards both Jesus and fellow believers, while at the same time being brought to a place where truth can be discovered.

As the only rule of faith, White considered the Bible was both a sufficient and unerring guide for the believer, and the means to determine truth. To move away from an explicit "thus saith the Lord" was to risk not only moving away from truth, but total separation from Christ.⁶⁶

⁶⁴ Ellen G. White, "Do Not Lord It over Others," (1870) in *Manuscript Releases*, 15:126;

⁶⁵ Ellen G. White, "A Missionary Appeal," *Review and Herald*, December 15, 1885, 770.

⁶⁶ Ellen G. White, "The Word of God," *Bible Training School*, May 1, 1913, 193.

The fourth factor to the attainment of unity was the avoidance of non-essential issues.⁶⁷ Instead of focusing on controversial and minor issues, leaders were encouraged to focus on core or vital truths which were clearly understood and with which everyone agreed.

In practice the identification of which truths fell into these categories was not straight forward. Ellen White's lists of vital truths (also identified as pillars of the faith, landmarks, or waymarks) are not always consistent. However, the truths seem to be able to be divided into two main categories. Those which are foundational for Christianity as a whole, and those which she considered the Spirit had led the Seventh-day Adventist Church to understand.

These truths included but were not limited to inspiration, salvation, incarnation, atonement, the perpetuity of the law, Sabbath, creation, the Three Angel's messages, the non-immortality of the soul, the cleansing of the sanctuary, baptism and the Lord's Supper.⁶⁸

The fifth key to the attainment of unity was to be found in organization, or gospel order. In 1853 she wrote

There is order in heaven. There was order in the church when Christ was upon earth; and after his departure, order was strictly observed among his apostles. And now in these last days, while God is bringing his children into the unity of the faith, there is more real need of order than ever before. . . . 'The church must flee to God's word, and become established upon gospel order which has been overlooked and neglected.' This is indispensably necessary to bring the church into the unity of the faith.⁶⁹

Ellen White's earliest recommendations regarding order were limited to choosing suitable individuals to teach and preach, along with means of appropriate recognition of these individuals by churches. While ordination of other church officers would follow later, the fact that other officers of the early church are not discussed at this time suggests that White was not calling for an exact replication of the New Testament system of order as Alexander Campbell and the Restorationists had done, but rather, and application of the principles of order demonstrated in the New Testament. Thus the principle of orderliness appears to be more important than a specific system of order.

The final key to attaining unity had to do with maintaining the right relationship with the church. In this area, two means of right relationship are highlighted. First, White emphasized the need for believers to take personal responsibility for the success of the

church in accomplishing its mission.⁷⁰ Practically this meant being personally involved in the mission of the church and prioritizing the needs of the church over personal desires.⁷¹ When members work for the prosperity of the church it focuses them on mission rather than the issues which divide them, thus promoting unity. Consequently, in 1881 Whites writes, “That church whose members feel that they are not responsible for its prosperity will fail to show to the world the unity, love, and harmony that exist with the true children of God.”⁷² The second way in which church members were to maintain the right relationship with the church was by yielding their opinions to the voice of the church unless the issue was of vital importance.⁷³ This instruction, as difficult as it seems, reflects several of White’s core beliefs: That God reveals truth to multiple individuals in his church,⁷⁴ that the church has been delegated authority by God,⁷⁵ and that the true Christian will be sensitive to the feelings and opinions of others.⁷⁶ Thus we find statements such as “God has bestowed the highest power under heaven upon His church. It is the voice of God in His united people in church capacity which is to be respected.”⁷⁷

⁶⁷ See for instance Ellen G. White, “Non-essential Subjects to be Avoided,” MS 10, September 12, 1904 published in part in *Manuscript Releases*, 17:303-304. Non-essential things were not to occupy the mind or the preaching of the word. “We are not to allow our attention to be diverted from the proclamation of the message given us. For years I have been instructed that we are not to give our attention to non-essential questions.” Similar ideas are expressed in Ellen G. White, “Ministers should Cooperate and Preach Practical Truths,” September 10, 1899, Letter 233, 1899, in *Manuscript Releases*, 21:398-401.

⁶⁸ White, “Standing by the Landmarks,” MS 13, 1889, in *1888 Materials*, 518; White, *Great Controversy*, 582; White, *Testimonies for the Church*, 4: 211; White, *Christian Experience and Teachings*, 246; Ellen G. White, “Build on a Sure Foundation,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, September 24, 1908, 7; Ellen G. White, “The Relationship of Christ to the Law Is Not Understood,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, February 4, 1890, 66. Doctrines which fall into these categories have been gleaned by looking at passages where White uses the phrases or terms “vital truth,” “pillars of the faith,” “waymarks,” and “landmarks” which are used almost interchangeably in reference to doctrines she considered indispensable. The mixture of core Christian doctrines with those more specific to Seventh-day Adventism highlights White’s conviction that the Spirit continues to lead the church in truth.

⁶⁹ Ellen G. White, *Supplement to the Experience and Views of Ellen G. White* (Rochester, NY: James White, 1854), 18-19

⁷⁰ White, “Scattered Churches,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, September 6, 1881, 161-162.

⁷¹ *Ibid.*; Ellen G. White, “We Shall Reap as We Sow,” *Advent Review and Sabbath Herald*, August 21, 1894, 529-530.

⁷² White, “Scattered Churches,” 161

⁷³ White, “The Unity of the Church,” *Bible Echo*, September 1, 1888, 129; White, “Unity in the Home and in the Church.” *Manuscript Releases*, 19:68.

⁷⁴ White, “The Unity of the Church,” *Bible Echo* September 1, 1888, 129.

⁷⁵ *Ibid.*; White, *Acts of the Apostles*, 122, 164; White, *Testimonies for the Church*, 5:108.

⁷⁶ White, *Testimonies for the Church*, 4:17, 19.

⁷⁷ White, *Testimonies for the Church*, 3:451.

Since White believed there was more truth to be uncovered, individuals are still called to search for truth. However, any new insights should be subject to investigation by mature Christians who are to prayerfully consider the matter in the light of the rest of Scripture.⁷⁸ Consequently, leaders and mature Christians are expected to exercise their authority responsibly and prayerfully.

Chronological Development of the White's Views on Unity

When we look at Ellen White's ideas on unity in a chronological fashion we find that White's emphasis moved from a primary understanding of unity in terms of doctrine prior to formal church organization, to a primary understanding of unity of action and purpose in the wake of denominational organization. This did not mean that doctrine was no longer important; simply that it did not form the center of her mature definition of unity. While existing as loosely organized congregational bodies, doctrine was one of the few features each congregation had in common, and therefore naturally would be seen as a uniting factor.

However, after the formal creation of the Seventh-day Adventist Church, a degree of unity was already implied by membership of the church. White's supposition that the church was a voluntary society led her to believe that anyone who joined the church already agreed with its core beliefs, therefore this no longer needed to be emphasized to members who were by choice committed to the same beliefs. Rather, focusing on a unity of action and purpose in this context allowed the church of likeminded individuals to fulfill its missional purpose.

Thus the earliest factor identified as necessary for unity is the use of the Bible as the rule of faith and practice. This was followed closely by the need for order and organization. The context helps us understand why these issues figured prominently. Between 1850 and 1860 there were no safeguards against visiting preachers presenting conflicting ideas and personal opinions. New converts were beginning to be admitted as the church moved away from the understanding of the shut door.⁷⁹ These converts had not experienced the specific leading of the Spirit in the same way as those who had been through the Great Disappointment. In addition, 1854 saw the rise of the first formal schism in the breakaway of the Messenger Party.⁸⁰

⁷⁸ White, *Christian Experience and Teachings*, 203.

⁷⁹ *Seventh-day Adventist Encyclopedia*, rev. ed., Commentary Reference Series Volume 10 (Washington, DC: Review and Herald, 1976), s.v. Open and Shut Door.

⁸⁰ *Seventh-day Adventist Encyclopedia*, rev. ed., Commentary Reference Series Volume 10 (Washington, DC: Review and Herald, 1976), s.v. Messenger Party; Knight, *Organizing for Mission and Growth: The Development of Adventist Church Structure*. (Hagerstown, MD: Review and Herald, 2006), 39.

Organization and faithfulness to biblical teaching were thus crucial for the survival of the Advent groups. Thus in this period, White calls on believers to focus on maintaining unity through prayerful study of Scriptures, adherence to key doctrinal truths, obedience to the commandments of God, and the institution of gospel order.

While White also speaks of union with Christ as being essential for unity in her earlier discussion of unity, she makes no direct linkage between union with Christ and these elements of visible unity. Furthermore, the earlier writings are missing any consideration of the role of interpersonal and relational issues in the attainment of unity. These ideas are only developed in the context of the later conflicts of the 1888 General Conference and those associated with John Harvey Kellogg.

The issues of the 1888 General Conference – the identity of the ten horns of Daniel 7, the meaning of the word law in Galatians, and the implications for righteousness by faith - appeared doctrinal.⁸¹ But White did not attribute the discord at the 1888 General Conference to doctrine. Her talks at the conference focused on a deeper cause for the disunity, disconnection from Christ. The unchristlike attitudes and actions which marred the conference were evidence in her eyes that many of those present were not united with Christ. Every member of the church had a responsibility for maintaining unity, and this was only possible when all remained connected to Christ who was both the source of truth and the source of unity.⁸²

The 1888 General Conference also provided White an opportunity to readdress the role of truth in maintaining unity. All delegates were called to prayerful personal study of the Word so that they would recognize the truth or error of the views presented in the meetings.⁸³ Nevertheless, White made it clear that knowing truth by itself was not all that was required of the Christian.⁸⁴ Truth needed to be lived.⁸⁵

⁸¹ For a good overview of the 1888 General Conference see George R. Knight, *A User Friendly Guide to the 1888 Message* (Hagerstown, MD: Review and Herald, 1998).

⁸² Ellen White, "Counsel to Ministers," MS 8a, October 21 1888, in *1888 Materials*, 132-145.

⁸³ White's call for delegates to study Scripture for themselves begun even before the General Conference began. See Ellen White to Brethren who shall assemble in General Conference, August 5, 1888 in *1888 Materials*, 38-46. During the conference she continued to advocate the need for personal study. See for instance Ellen White, "The Need of Advancement," Morning Talk October 18, 1888 in *1888 Materials*, 117.

⁸⁴ Ellen White, "The Scriptures a Sufficient Guide." Week of Prayer Reading for Sabbath, December 15, 1888, in *1888 Materials*, 201.

⁸⁵ Ibid.

This meant more than keeping the Sabbath and preparing for the second coming of Christ. Lived truth for White meant that every action exhibited the character of Christ, and every word was spoken in kindness. If truth was lived even those who disagreed about the meaning of the key issues should have been able to work together without jealousy and accusation. But such was not the experience of those at the 1888 General Conference.

The growing issues with centralization and abuse of power around the same time led White to also reconsider the idea of the authority structure of the church. While White did not believe that the authority structure was the basis of unity of the church, she recognized that authority structures can either aid or hinder unity. Moreover, unity needed to occur within some form of structure. Four features of authority structure were identified as important for maximizing unity: Functionality, flexibility to meet the needs of the church; avoidance of centralization of authority,⁸⁶ and avoidance of giving too much power on any one individual.⁸⁷ Based on her advice to G. I. Butler, Waggoner and Jones, we can also conclude that White expected leaders to be examples of Christ-like attitudes and behavior.”⁸⁸ They to spend time prayerfully studying Scripture in order to determine for themselves what was true.⁸⁹ However, they were not to stand in the way of new expressions of truth, nor should they consider that their position meant they were infallible in their understanding, or that they alone could determine truth.⁹⁰

⁸⁶ See for instance Ellen G. White to W. W. Prescott and Wife, Letter P-088, September 1, 1896, in *Manuscript Releases*, 13:1616-1620; Ellen G. White to the General Conference Committee and the Publishing Boards of the Review and Herald and the Pacific Press, Letter R-071, April 8 1894, in *Manuscript Releases*, 11:272-273.

⁸⁷ Ellen G. White, “A Call to Reconsecrate, Reorganize and Advance,” MS43, April 1, 1901, in *Manuscript Releases*, 13:192-207. See especially, 192-193; White, “No Kingly Authority to Be Exercised,” MS 26, 1903 in *Manuscript Releases*, 14: 279.

⁸⁸ Ellen White to George I. Butler, October 1888, Letter 21a-1888, in *1888 Materials*, 85-106.

⁸⁹ Ibid.

⁹⁰ Ibid.

The Big Picture

As we attempt to build a model of White's suggestions for attaining unity we begin with the group within which unity is to occur. Although Ellen White suggests that the prayer of Jesus in John 17 applies to anyone who has taken the name Christian, she nevertheless, only addresses the unity of those which she identifies either as the remnant or lovers of truth. This provides a clear identity of the group within which unity is to occur. Next, we can add the Bible as the rule of faith which provides an agreed upon authority for the church. Necessity also suggested that unity occurs with a specific structure. As you heard from Barry Oliver yesterday, although order was important to Ellen White, it was the principle of organization which took priority over the actual details of structure. Indeed, structure rather than being fixed should be flexible to serve the mission of the church.

Together these ideas - the identity of the group, the authority of the group, and the structure in which unity was to occur - provided a tangible basis for unity. However, they are insufficient alone as they do not deal with the core and intangible issues relating to unity, which centre on understanding the relationships of the Christian.

With these tangible elements established we can add the invisible foundation of unity which Ellen White understood as union with Christ. In White's earliest writings on unity it is unclear how union with Christ related to the tangible elements of unity. This connection would become clearer as she wrestled with the crises from 1885 forward. As White focused more on Jesus, connections started to become obvious. She recognized that a living connection with Christ impacts all a believer's relationships in a way that promotes unity.

Union with Christ promoted the fruit of the Spirit and the development of a Christ-like character as the Holy Spirit did its work. Amongst this fruit would be a transformation of attitudes so that pride and self-centeredness were replaced by love and humility. At the same time union with Christ would impact the relationship between the Christian and the church. As following Christ became a priority, the Christian would give precedence to the mission of the church over their own desires. This in turn would impact their relationships with other Christians, exhibiting itself in a willingness to submit to one another for the sake of harmony. Union with Christ was also expected to help the Christian to understand "truth as it is in Jesus." Being right in our interpretation of Scripture must be accompanied by a humility and love for others where we "practice truth as it in Jesus."⁹¹

⁹¹ Ellen White to George I. Butler and Uriah Smith, April 5, 1887, in *1888 Materials*, 32-37; Ellen White, "The Scriptures a Sufficient Guide." Week of Prayer Reading for Sabbath, December 15, 1888, in *1888 Materials*, 198, 201; Ellen White, "The Secret of Unity." Sermon at Chicago, IL on April 4, 1889, in *Review and Herald* July 2, 1889, 147-148.

The foundation connecting union with Christ with its practical results highlights three major understandings required for unity: what it means to be a Christian, what it means to be a church, and the ability to recognize truth as it is in Jesus.

These in turn impact willingness to accept the Bible as the rule of faith, willingness to work within the structure of the church, and identity formation. The identity of those who are called to unity is transformed. It is still the remnant defined in Revelation, but it is the remnant who understands truth in the light of Jesus. It is a remnant whose identity is forged through understanding one's relationship to Christ, one's role as a member of the body of Christ, and faithfulness to truth as it is in Jesus. Thus White's view of unity in the Adventist Church also emphasizes identity in Christ.

Strengths of White's Views on Unity

This mature thinking on unity is complex, with multiple interactions. But it has a number of important strengths.

1. It demonstrates a clear connection between union with Christ and Christian unity, while at the same time, clearly delineating both divine and human roles in the process of attaining church unity.
2. It recognizes the biblical principle that connection with Christ does not leave individuals unchanged.
3. It focuses on authentic Christianity. While White saw unity as occurring between those who loved truth and displayed the characteristics of the remnant, the foundation of her model requires individuals to be authentic Christians first and foremost.
4. It recognizes the impact of sin on relationships; in particular, the human tendency to pride, selfishness, and thirst for power or control. Consequently, it places emphasis on correction of attitudes and relational problems through connection with Christ.
5. It recognizes that relationship is at the heart of unity. Unity of the church is impacted by multiple relationships including the relationship with Christ, the church, truth and other people.
6. By including active involvement in the mission of the church, White enables members to focus on something outside of themselves. When focus is persistently directed internally, differences of opinion become more prominent.⁹² But when focus is upon a common goal outside of themselves, members are led

to focus on their common identity in Christ rather than the issues which divide them.

Weaknesses of White's Views on Unity

Three major weaknesses of White's view also need to be mentioned as we think about the relevance of these views on unity.

1. First, the intangible and personal nature of union with Christ makes it difficult to discuss or work with as an organization. Any judgment in relation to the status an individual's connection or disconnection from Christ is likely to be met with a defensive response from the individual concerned.
2. The understanding that Christians who come to scripture with a teachable spirit and a willingness to be led by the Holy Spirit would always come to the same conclusions does not seem to be borne out in practice. White's solution was to label individuals who do not come to the expected consensus as disconnected from Christ. But how should we determine which group is disconnected from Christ? There is no clear answer here.
3. While organization aided unity by creating order, and streamlined communication between diverse geographic areas, it also risked creating disunity by the abuse of power and centralization of power.

Application in the Adventist Church in 2017

In conclusion, let's focus on the lessons we can learn that are applicable to our situation today.

1. Unity is at its heart personal, and therefore cannot be manufactured or constructed by leaders wielding of their authority or attempting a forced consensus.
2. Unity of purpose and action does not require nor endorse uniformity of practice. Rather it recognizes that various practices and methodologies are needed in different places and contexts to achieve the one purpose. Authentic unity of the church can only occur between authentic Christians who are united in Christ and are being transformed by his power.
3. Unity involves a variety of relationships within the church: the relationship with God, with doctrine, with individuals, and with the church as a whole. Emphasizing one type of relationship without the others is not sufficient to

achieve a visible unity. Rather, all forms of relationship must be nurtured and developed.

4. We need to take personal responsibility for our own attitudes and actions. The importance of personal attitudes of humility and kindness are often overlooked in discussions as each side attempts to establish that their own view is the correct one.

White clearly reprimanded those who considered being right was more important than displaying the character of Christ, and truth as it is in Jesus. Our focus should be directed at Jesus who is both the foundation and the creator of unity. Our tendency is focus directly on the creation of unity, but the reality is that unity is not created by us or our plans. While believers should strive to be united, unity can only occur if we are connected to Christ.

⁹² Christena Cleveland, *Disunity in Christ: Uncovering the Hidden Forces that Keep Us Apart* (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2013). Social psychologist Christena Cleveland suggests that our human tendency to categorize is done in order to protect our identity and self-esteem. But this self-protective mechanism creates division rather than helping our pursuit of unity.